

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/852,597	05/10/2001	Thomas F. Chapman	E1001/251209	4921
23370	7590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ			RAYYAN, SUSAN F	
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA 30309			2167	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/852,597	CHAPMAN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan F. Rayyan	2167				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension				
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Common Anotice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	FR 1.704(b). Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:	· ·					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· /					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .	;					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		·				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-40</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on <u>03 December 2001</u>	(is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disa	approved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449)	.				
10. Other:		·				
Luke S Wa	She Ru	iil istau				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 20041110

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's argument concerning reasons to combine and maintains the rejections for the reasons cited in the Final Rejection mailed on May 10, 2004.